

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/697,146 10/27/2000		27/2000	Kiichiro Yamagishi	06753.0385	3694	
22852	7590	09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
	N, HENDEF	RSON, FARABO	HAN, QI			
LLP 1300 I STRE	ET. NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT		0005	2654			
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 2 0 0						
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/697,14	16	YAMAGISHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Qi Han		2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-13,15-20 and 22-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2,9,14 and 21 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 December 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on 10/27/2000 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 13 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa et al. (US 5,946,658) hereinafter referenced as Miyazawa, in view of Wang et al. (US 6,505,162 B1) hereinafter referenced as Wang.

As per claim 1, Miyazawa discloses cartridge-based, interactive speech recognition method with a response creation capability (title), comprising:

Art Unit: 2654

a voice input section inputting voice uttered by a user (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'input unit 1, which inputs the speaker's voice');

a voice recognition processing section recognizing the voice input the voice input section, and converting the recognized voice (speech) into an input symbol string (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'to recognize words spoken', 'speech recognition (converting the recognized voice) and dialogue management unit 4', 'recognizable words (symbol string)');

a conversation pattern processing section inputting said input symbol string from the voice recognition processing section, and outputting an output symbol string corresponding to said input symbol string based on a conversation pattern described in advance, (column 4, lines 1-17 and Fig. 1, 'conversation content storage for retaining canned context rules (broadly interpreted as conversation pattern(s) described in advance) and response procedures when recognized words or phrases (symbol string) are encountered (input)', response data storage for retaining response voice vector data used in formulating an appropriate response to perceived and recognized words and corresponding context and action rules'; Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'speech recognition and dialogue management unit 4', 'response (output) data memory unit 4, which stores pre-set (described in advance) response data (necessarily including symbol string, since it will output to a voice synthesis unit 6)');

a voice synthesis processing section converting said output symbol string from the conversation pattern processing section into voice (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice synthesis unit 6');

a voice output section outputting and uttering the voice from the voice synthesis processing section (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice output unit 7'); and

Art Unit: 2654

Even though Miyazawa discloses changing said output symbol string in accordance with the grasped conversation characteristics (column 5, lines 12-14, 'conversation and response information can be changed or updated as the general culture (broadly interpreted grasped conversation characteristics) changes'; column 12, lines 20-22, 'when a recognition word is determined, speech recognition and dialogue manager 4 comprehends (grasp) the meaning of the input voice, determine a response ... detects fluctuation data'), Miyazawa does not expressly disclose "a conversation characteristic control section grasping conversation characteristics of said user based on said input symbol string from said conversation pattern processing section". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Wang who discloses apparatus and method of r portable dialogue management using a hierarchical task description table (title), comprising a conversational dialogue manager that has a standard control mechanism (a conversation characteristic control section), selects (grasp) appropriate dialogue states (broadly interpreted as conversation characteristics of the user), executes the response actions, extracts (grasp) the domain-dependent factors (conversation characteristics of the user), controls the dialogue flow according to semantic input (necessarily including recognized and comprehended meaning of the input voice stated above) of a user and the instruction provided by the external knowledge base to generate semantic output in response, replaces the knowledge base (column 5, lines 43-64), and update the dialogue flow (column 6, line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Mivazawa by specifically providing a control mechanism for dialogue (conversation) management, as taught by Wang, for the purpose of increasing flexibility for a dialogue management. (Wang: column 4, lines 5-7).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 13, it recites a method. The rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 1, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 1.

As per claim 25, it recites a computer readable recording medium. The rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 1, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 1.

4. Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa et al. (US 5,946,658) hereinafter referenced as Miyazawa, in view of Marx et al. (US 6,173,266 B1) hereinafter referenced as Marx.

As per claim 3, Miyazawa discloses cartridge-based, interactive speech recognition method with a response creation capability (title), comprising:

a voice input section inputting voice uttered by a user (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'input unit 1, which inputs the speaker's voice');

a voice recognition processing section recognizing the voice input depends on the voice input section, and converting the recognized voice (speech) into an input symbol string (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'to recognize words spoken', 'speech recognition (converting the recognized voice) and dialogue management unit 4', 'recognizable words (symbol string)');

a conversation pattern processing section inputting said input symbol string from the voice recognition processing section, and outputting an output symbol string corresponding to said input symbol string based on a conversation pattern described in advance, (column 4, lines 1-17 and Fig. 1, 'conversation content storage for retaining canned context rules (broadly interpreted as conversation pattern(s) described in advance) and response procedures when

Art Unit: 2654

recognized words or phrases (symbol string) are encountered (input)', response data storage for retaining response voice vector data used in formulating an appropriate response to perceived and recognized words and corresponding context and action rules'; Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'speech recognition and dialogue management unit 4', 'response (output) data memory unit 4, which stores pre-set (described in advance) response data (necessarily including symbol string, since it will output to a voice synthesis unit 6)');

a voice synthesis processing section converting said output symbol string from the conversation pattern processing section into voice (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice synthesis unit 6');

a voice output section outputting and uttering the voice from the voice synthesis processing section (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice output unit 7').

Even though Miyazawa discloses a storage section storing words relevant to words uttered by the user (column 18, lines 56-67, 'conversation context memory 101 stores the information related to the kind of phrases which have been registered and the kind of responses that should be issued to each of theses registered phrase'), Miyazawa does not expressly disclose storing the words being "synonyms", and "an association function section extracting the synonyms and relevant words synonymous with and relevant to words uttered by said user from said storage section based on said input symbol string from said conversation pattern processing section, and outputting said extracted synonyms and relevant words to said conversation pattern processing section". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Marx who discloses system and method for developing interactive speech applications (title), comprising dialogue modules (abstract), processing user response by using synonyms (column 9, lines 30-

Art Unit: 2654

39), editing items and synonyms of the vocabulary using a predefined system dictionary, listing synonyms under their items at an indented tier, and adding, deleting, highlighting (broadly interpreted as association function of extracting) synonyms of an recognized item (column 19, lines 16-33, and Figs. 12 and 13), which corresponds to the claimed limitation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa by specifically providing storing and extracting synonyms and relevant words for the recognized words, as taught by Marx, for the purpose of offering alternative terms of the recognized items for a dialogue module (Marx: column 19, lines 10-13).

As per claim 15, it recites a method. The rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 3, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 3.

5. Claims 4-5 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa et al. (US 5,946,658) hereinafter referenced as Miyazawa, in view of Petkovic (US 6,185,527 B1) hereinafter referenced as Petkovic.

As per **claim 4**, Miyazawa discloses cartridge-based, interactive speech recognition method with a response creation capability (title), comprising:

a voice input section inputting voice uttered by a user (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'input unit 1, which inputs the speaker's voice');

a voice recognition processing section recognizing the voice input the voice input section, and converting the recognized voice (speech) into an input symbol string (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'to recognize words spoken', 'speech recognition (converting the recognized voice) and dialogue management unit 4', 'recognizable words (symbol string)');

Art Unit: 2654

a conversation pattern processing section inputting said input symbol string from the voice recognition processing section, and outputting an output symbol string corresponding to said input symbol string based on a conversation pattern described in advance, (column 4, lines 1-17 and Fig. 1, 'conversation content storage for retaining canned context rules (broadly interpreted as conversation pattern(s) described in advance) and response procedures when recognized words or phrases (symbol string) are encountered (input)', response data storage for retaining response voice vector data used in formulating an appropriate response to perceived and recognized words and corresponding context and action rules'; Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'speech recognition and dialogue management unit 4', 'response (output) data memory unit 4, which stores pre-set (described in advance) response data (necessarily including symbol string, since it will output to a voice synthesis unit 6)');

a voice synthesis processing section converting said output symbol string from the conversation pattern processing section into voice (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice synthesis unit 6');

a voice output section outputting and uttering the voice from the voice synthesis processing section (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice output unit 7').

Even though Miyazawa discloses a storage section storing words relevant to words uttered by the user (column 18, lines 56-67, 'conversation context memory 101 stores the information related to the kind of phrases which have been registered and the kind of responses that should be issued to each of theses registered phrase'), Miyazawa does not expressly disclose "a second recognition processing section recognizing broadcast voice from a broadcast station, and converting the recognized broadcast voice into second input symbol strings" and "an event

Art Unit: 2654

data storage section storing said second input symbol strings as event data." However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Petkovic who discloses system and method for automatic audio content analysis for word spotting, indexing, classification and retrieval (title), comprising audio source, such as a broadcast network, or radio station, speech recognition engine (necessarily converting speech (voice) into text (symbol strings) (column 6, lines 48-67), and a data storage device having computer usable code means for classifying and indexing (herein inherently include storing) audio signals representing audio events, including using a speech recognition engine to render words (symbol strings) and alternatives to some words (column 17, lines 23-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa by specifically providing a speech recognition mechanism for broadcast audio source and a data storage for storing event data related to the audio processing, including speech recognition, as taught by Petkovic, for the purpose of recognizing many audio applications benefiting from a more generalized ability, based on predetermined audio events (Petkovic: column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 4).

As per claim 5 (depending on claim 4), as stated above, Petkovic discloses using broadcast audio source (column 6, lines 48-67), using a data storage device and a speech recognition engine to render words (symbol strings) and alternatives to some words from (column 17, lines 23-56), which suggests the combined system is capable of implementing functionality as claimed "extracting only a symbol string desired by the user among said second input symbol strings converted by said second voice recognition section, and storing the extracted symbol string in said event data storage section". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa by

Art Unit: 2654

specifically providing a mechanism for extracting only words among from broadcast audio source and storing them, as taught by Petkovic, for the purpose of recognizing many audio applications benefiting from a more generalized ability, based on predetermined audio events (Petkovic: column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 4).

As per claim 16, it recites a method. The rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 4, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 4.

As per claim 17 (depending on claim 16), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 5, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 5.

6. Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa in view of Petkovic as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Wang.

As per claim 6 (depending on claim 4), even though Miyazawa discloses changing said output symbol string in accordance with the grasped conversation characteristics (column 5, lines 12-14, 'conversation and response information can be changed or updated as the general culture (broadly interpreted grasped conversation characteristics) changes'; column 12, lines 20-22, 'when a recognition word is determined, speech recognition and dialogue manager 4 comprehends (grasp) the meaning of the input voice, determine a response ... detects fluctuation data'), Miyazawa in view of Petkovic does not expressly disclose "a conversation characteristic control section grasping conversation characteristics of said user based on said input symbol string from said conversation pattern processing section". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Wang who discloses apparatus and method of r portable dialogue management using a hierarchical task description table (title), comprising a conversational

Art Unit: 2654

dialogue manager that has a standard control mechanism (a conversation characteristic control section), selects (grasp) appropriate dialogue states (broadly interpreted as conversation characteristics of the user), executes the response actions, extracts (grasp) the domain-dependent factors (conversation characteristics of the user), controls the dialogue flow according to semantic input (necessarily including recognized and comprehended meaning of the input voice stated above) of a user and the instruction provided by the external knowledge base to generate semantic output in response, replaces the knowledge base (column 5, lines 43-64), and update the dialogue flow (column 6, line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa in view of Petkovic by specifically providing a control mechanism for dialogue (conversation) management, as taught by Wang, for the purpose of increasing flexibility for a dialogue management. (Wang: column 4, lines 5-7).

As per claim 18 (depending on claim 16), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 6, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 6.

7. Claims 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa in view of Petkovic as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Marx.

As per claim 7 (depending on claim 4), even though Miyazawa discloses a storage section storing words relevant to words uttered by the user (column 18, lines 56-67, 'conversation context memory 101 stores the information related to the kind of phrases which have been registered and the kind of responses that should be issued to each of theses registered phrase'), Miyazawa in view of Petkovic does not expressly disclose storing the words being

Art Unit: 2654

"synonyms", and "an association function section extracting the synonyms and relevant words synonymous with and relevant to words uttered by said user from said storage section based on said input symbol string from said conversation pattern processing section, and outputting said extracted synonyms and relevant words to said conversation pattern processing section". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Marx who discloses system and method for developing interactive speech applications (title), comprising dialogue modules (abstract), processing user response by using synonyms (column 9, lines 30-39), editing items and synonyms of the vocabulary using a predefined system dictionary, listing synonyms under their items at an indented tier, and adding, deleting, highlighting (broadly interpreted as association function of extracting) synonyms of an recognized item (column 19, lines 16-33, and Figs. 12 and 13), which corresponds to the claimed limitation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa in view of Petkovic by specifically providing storing and extracting synonyms and relevant words for the recognized words, as taught by Marx, for the purpose of offering alternative terms of the recognized items for a dialogue module (Marx: column 19, lines 10-13).

As per claim 19 (depending on claim 16), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 7, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 7.

8. Claims 8, 12, 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa in view of Petkovic, and further in view of Kanevsky et al. (US 6,236,968 B1) hereinafter referenced as Kanevsky.

Art Unit: 2654

As per **claim 8**, Miyazawa discloses cartridge-based, interactive speech recognition method with a response creation capability (title), comprising:

a voice input section inputting voice uttered by a user (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'input unit 1, which inputs the speaker's voice');

a voice recognition processing section recognizing the voice input de voice input section, and converting the recognized voice (speech) into an input symbol string (column 6, lines 30-49 and Fig. 1, 'to recognize words spoken', 'speech recognition (converting the recognized voice) and dialogue management unit 4', 'recognizable words (symbol string)');

a conversation pattern processing section inputting said input symbol string from the voice recognition processing section, and outputting an output symbol string corresponding to said input symbol string based on a conversation pattern described in advance, (column 4, lines 1-17 and Fig. 1, 'conversation content storage for retaining canned context rules (broadly interpreted as conversation pattern(s) described in advance) and response procedures when recognized words or phrases (symbol string) are encountered (input)', response data storage for retaining response voice vector data used in formulating an appropriate response to perceived and recognized words and corresponding context and action rules'; Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'speech recognition and dialogue management unit 4', 'response (output) data memory unit 4, which stores pre-set (described in advance) response data (necessarily including symbol string, since it will output to a voice synthesis unit 6)');

a voice synthesis processing section converting said output symbol string from the conversation pattern processing section into voice (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice synthesis unit 6');

Art Unit: 2654

a voice output section outputting and uttering the voice from the voice synthesis processing section (Fig. 1 and column 6, lines 38-42, 'voice output unit 7').

Even though Miyazawa discloses a storage section storing words relevant to words uttered by the user (column 18, lines 56-67, 'conversation context memory 101 stores the information related to the kind of phrases which have been registered and the kind of responses that should be issued to each of theses registered phrase'), Miyazawa does not expressly disclose "an event data storage section storing the event data". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Petkovic who discloses system and method for automatic audio content analysis for word spotting, indexing, classification and retrieval (title), comprising a data storage device having computer usable code means, including using predetermined audio features being reprehensive of respective audio events (event data, which is necessarily stored in the data storage), and using a speech recognition engine to render words (interpreted as event data since they are directly associated to the audio events) and alternatives to some words (column 17, lines 23-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa by specifically providing a data storage for storing event data relating the audio processing, as taught by Petkovic, for the purpose of recognizing many audio applications benefiting from a more generalized ability, based on predetermined audio events (Petkovic: column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 4).

Miyazawa in view of Petkovic does not expressly disclose a mechanism "transmitting said input symbol string to the other interactive system as event data, and receiving event data consisting of the symbol string from said other interactive system". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Kanevsky who disclose that an automatic dialog system

Art Unit: 2654

includes a speech recognition module and a speech generation module (abstract), comprising an external service provider adapter 122 for facilitating communications between the dialog car system and a remotely located external service provider 123, the external provider receives alert signals (necessarily includes some symbol string) and the actual content of conversation will be transferred from the external service provider 123 to the conversational planner 107 (column 5, lines 22-64 and Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify Miyazawa in view of Petkovic by specifically providing a mechanism for transmitting processed data (symbol string) from one interactive system to the other, as taught by Kanevsky, for the purpose of updating the appropriate modules with new data (Kanevsky: column 5, lines 40-51).

As per claim 12 (depending on claim 8), Petkovic further discloses audio source, such as a broadcast network, or radio station, speech recognition engine (necessarily converting speech (voice) into text (symbol strings) (column 6, lines 48-67), and a data storage device having computer usable code means for classifying and indexing (herein inherently include storing) audio signals representing audio events, including using a speech recognition engine to render words (symbol strings) and alternatives to some words (column 17, lines 23-56), which corresponds to the claimed "a second recognition processing section recognizing broadcast voice from a broadcast station, and converting the recognized broadcast voice into second input symbol strings" and "an event data storage section storing said second input symbol strings as event data". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify the combined system as stated above by specifically providing a speech recognition mechanism for broadcast audio source and a data storage for storing event

Art Unit: 2654

data related to the audio processing, including speech recognition, as taught by Petkovic, for the purpose of recognizing many audio applications benefiting from a more generalized ability, based on predetermined audio events (Petkovic: column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 4).

As per claim 20, it recites a method. The rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 8, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 8.

As per claim 24 (depending on claim 20), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 12, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 12.

9. Claims 10 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa in view of Petkovic and Kanevsky as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Wang.

As per claim 10 (depending on claim 8), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 6, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 6.

As per claim 22 (depending on claim 20), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 10, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 10.

10. Claims 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa in view of Petkovic and Kanevsky as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Marx.

As per claim 11 (depending on claim 8), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 7, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 7.

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 23 (depending on claim 20), the rejection is based on the same reason as described for claim 11, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 11.

Page 17

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 2, 9, 14 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 2 (depending on claim 1), the prior art of record fail to specifically disclose or fairly suggest the interactive speech recognition and speech synthesis system with distinct combined features that comprises:

a conversation characteristic control section grasping conversation characteristics of said user based on said input symbol string from said conversation pattern processing section, and changing said output symbol string in accordance with the grasped conversation characteristics (inherited from claim 1); and

a conversation characteristic control section that optimizes a conversation topic, a conversation pattern, uttered words, a conversation response interval, utterance frequency and timing during the utterance based on words and a conversation pattern used by the user with high frequency, a specific topic, times of conversation responses and utterance time and the number of words uttered by the user.

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding claim 9 (depending on claim 8), the prior art of record fail to specifically disclose or fairly suggest the interactive speech recognition and speech synthesis system with distinct combined features that comprises:

an event data transmission and reception section transmitting said input symbol string to the other interactive system as event data, and receiving event data consisting of the symbol string from said other interactive system; an event data storage section storing the event data received by the event data transmission and reception section from said other interactive system.

(inherited from claim 8); and

an input section inputting a privacy protection command of said user; and a privacy protecting function section transmitting said event data acquired by utterance of said user to said other interactive system if said privacy protection command is not inputted from the input section, and not transmitting said event data acquired by the utterance of said user to said other interactive system if said privacy protection command is inputted from the input section.

Regarding claim 14 (depending on claim 13), the allowance is based on the same reason as described for claim 2, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 2.

Regarding **claim 21** (depending on claim 20), the allowance is based on the same reason as described claim for 9, because the claim recites same or similar limitation(s) as claim 9.

12. The prior art, Miyazawa et al. (US 5,946,658), Wang et al. (US 6,505,162 B1), Marx et al. (US 6,173,266 B1), Petkovic (US 6,185,527 B1) and Kanevsky et al. (US 6,236,968 B1), of record provided numerous teachings or alternative approach for speech and speaker recognition with noise, including generating background noise models, spectral domain analysis, measuring the average (mean) and variance of a signal, estimating noise statistical properties, selective

Art Unit: 2654

addition of noise, adding and subtracting mean, modifying noise speech. However, the features as presented above are not anticipated by, nor made obvious by the prior art of the record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

13. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9306, (for informal or draft communications, and please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Patent Correspondence delivered by hand or delivery services, other than the USPS, should be addressed as follows and brought to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 220 20th Street S., Customer Window, Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03, Arlington, VA, 22202

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qi Han whose telephone numbers is (703) 305-5631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached on (703) 305-6954.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Inquiries regarding the status of submissions relating to an application or questions on the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028 between the hours of 6 a.m. and midnight Monday through Friday EST, or by e-mail at: ebc@uspto.gov. For general information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

QH/qh

September 13, 2004

HICHEMOND DORVII. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEH